

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

In re NASSAU COUNTY STRIP  
SEARCH CASES

**ORDER**  
99-CV-2844(DRH)

-----X

**APPEARANCES:**

**For Plaintiffs:**

Giskan Solotaroff Anderson & Stewart LLP  
11 Broadway suite 2150  
New York, New York 10004  
By: Robert L. Herbst, Esq.  
Oren Giskan, Esq.

Beldock Levine & Hoffman LLP  
99 Park Avenue, Suite 1600  
New York, New York 10016  
By: Vera M. Scanlon, Esq.

Emery Celli Brinckerhoff & Abady LLP  
75 Rockefeller Plaza, 20th Floor  
New York, New York 10019  
By: Matthew D. Brinckerhoff, Esq.  
Mariann Wang, Esq.

Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz, LLP  
270 Madison Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
By: Jeffrey G. Smith, Esq.  
Martin Restituyo, Esq.

**For Defendants:**

Lorna B. Goodman  
Nassau County Attorney  
One West Street  
Mineola, New York 11501  
By: Dennis J. Saffran, Esq.  
Liora Ben-Sorek, Esq.

**For Movant:**

Marilyn Bloch, Pro Se  
6031 Polk St., Apt. 8  
Hollywood, Fla. 33224

**HURLEY, Senior District Judge:**

Presently before the Court is the application of Marilyn Bloch (“Bloch”) to be a named plaintiff in this class action. The application is opposed by both Plaintiffs and Defendants. For the reasons set forth below, the application is denied.

The class certified in this action consists of all persons “arrested for misdemeanors or non-criminal offenses in Nassau County who thereafter were strip searched at the Nassau County Correctional Center pursuant to defendants’ blanket policy, practice and custom which required that all arrestees be strip-searched upon admission to the facility from May 20, 1996 until and including June 1, 1999.” Class counsel has advised the Court that Bloch and her father are members of the class.

Rule 23 provides that a class representative must fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class. Bloch’s application does not address her ability to fairly and adequately represent the class. Moreover, it is apparent based on Bloch’s affidavit that she seeks to become a named plaintiff in order to vindicate the rights of her father who she claims was illegally held in the jail and whose bail she claims was illegally not accepted. (*See* Affidavit in Supp. ¶ 2-4.) These claims are outside the scope of the issues pertinent to this class action.

To the extent that the application may be deemed a motion to intervene under Fed. R. Civ. P. 24, it is untimely given the pendency of this action for more than ten years.

The application is denied.

**SO ORDERED.**

Dated: Central Islip, New York  
April 23, 2010

/s/  
Denis R. Hurley  
Senior District Judge